CSES Module 2: Sample Design and Data Collection Report

Country (Date of Election): <u>July 2nd, 2000</u> .
Type of Election (e.g. presidential; parliamentary; legislative): Presidential and legislative
Organization that Conducted the Survey Field Work:Consulta-Mitofsky,
Investigators Responsible for Data Collection
Name: . <u>Ulises Beltrán Ugarte</u>
Address: Saltillo 63, Col. Hipódromo Condensa
México, D.F. C.P. 06100
México
Fax: 525 <u>52-56-05-10</u>
Phone: 525 52-11-30-44
E-mail: <u>ulisesb@internet.com.mx</u>
Name: Benito Nacif
Address:Cide
Carretera México, Toluca
No. 3655 (Km. 16.5)
Col. Lomas de Santa Fe
México, D.F 01210
México
F. 525 57 27 00 71
Fax: 52557-27-98-71
Phone: 525 57-27-98-28
E-mail: benito.nacif@cide.edu
Name: Olivia Pérez
Address: Saltillo 63, Col. Hipódromo Condensa
México, D.F. C.P. 06100
México
Wickled
Fax: 525 <u>52-56-05-10</u>
Phone: 525 52-11-30-44
E-mail: operez@bga.com.mx
L-man. operez woga.com.mx
Languages used in Interviews: (Please provide copies of all survey instruments, and
translation for those that were not conducted in English).
Tambinion 101 more time were not conducted in Dilgiton).
<u>Spanish</u>

A. Study Design
₩ Post-Election Study
☐ Pre-/Post-Election Panel Study
Date Post-Election Interviewing Began: <u>July 15th, 2000</u>
Date Post-Election Interviewing Ended: July 20 th, 2000.
If Panel Study:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Began:
Date Pre-Election Interviewing Ended:
Mode of (post-election) interview:
▼ In person, face-to-face
Telephone
<u> </u>
T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
B. Sample Design and Sampling Procedures
1. Eligibility Requirements
a) Age: Minimum18 Maximum90
b) Citizenship: Yes \(\boldsymbol{\Pi}\) No \(\pi\)
c) Other requirements:
2. Sample Frame:
a) Were any regions of the country excluded from the sample frame?
No ♥ Yes □:
1) 337 ' ' ' ' ' 1' 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
b) Were institutionalized persons excluded from the sample?
No □ Yes ♥:
c) Were military personnel excluded from the sample?
No ♥ Yes □:
d) If interviews were conducted by telephone:
What is the estimated percentage of households without a phone:%
Were unlisted telephone numbers included in the population sampled?
Yes No No
Were substitution methods used for unproductive sample points? No ♥ Yes □:
There were not unproductive sample points
e) Were other persons excluded from the sample frame: No ♥ Yes □:
-
f) Estimated total $(a + b + c + d + e)$ percentage of the eligible population
excluded from the sample frame: D.K. but negligible

2. Sample Selection Procedures:
a) What were the primary sampling units? Were the primary sampling units randomly selected? No ☐ Yes ♣ (Please Describe):
The primary sampling units were electoral precincts clusters. The clusters were defined as groups of all of the precincts with similar electoral results and belonging to the same county (municipality)
b) Were there further stages of selection? No ☐ Yes ♣ (Please Describe):
The second stage were the precincts within the clusters, the third were households within the precinct and the last stage was the respondent in the selected household.
c) How were individual respondents identified?
Respondents in the household were not selected randomly. Interviewers followed quotas of gender and age, taken from census data from 1995.
e) Under what circumstances was a sample line designated non- sample? (Check all that apply)
 Non-residential sample point All members of household are ineligible Housing unit is vacant No answer at housing unit after3 callbacks □ Other, explain:
f) Were non-sample replacement methods used? No \square Yes X (Please Describe):
Following the same systematic selection used for the sample line.
For surveys conducted by telephone: Was the sample a random digit dial sample? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\) Was the sample a listed sample? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\) Was the sample a dual frame? No \(\sigma\) Yes \(\sigma\) with % list frame and % RDD For surveys conducted by mail: Was the sample a listed sample? Yes \(\sigma\) No \(\sigma\) (Please Describe):
4. Compliance a) Prior to the study was: a letter sent to respondent? No ♣ Yes □ (Please Include with Deposit payment sent to respondent? No ♣ Yes □, in the amount of:

a token gift sent to respondent? No ♥ Yes ☐ (Please Describe):				
any other incentives used? No ♥ Yes □ (Please Describe):				
b) During the Field Period				
How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it nonsample? Three				
How many contacts were made with the household before declaring it noninterview?				
Three				
Did interviewers vary the time of day at which they re-contacted the household? No Yes (Please Describe): Depending on each region				
c) Refusal Conversion Was an effort made to persuade respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed? No ☐ Yes ☒ (Please Describe): Explaining the importance of the study				
study				
Were respondents who were reluctant to be interviewed sent a letter persuading them to take part? No ☐ Yes ♣ (Please Describe/ Include with Deposit):				
Was payment offered to respondents who were reluctant to take part? No ♥ Yes □ , in the amount of:				
Were respondents who were reluctant to take part turned over to a more experienced interviewer? Yes □ No ♥				
What was the maximum number of re-contacts used to persuade respondent to be interviewed? One.				
Were any other methods used to persuade respondents reluctant to be interviewed to take part? No ♣ Yes ☐ (Please Describe):				
5. Response Rate (to first wave if a panel study)				
Total number of sample lines issued: 2615 Number of refusals: 849				
Number never contacted (no-contact): 34				

Number of lines of non-sample: N. A. Total number of completed interviews: 1766 Response Rate: 68%
Panel Attrition (NOTE: Complete only if CSES questionnaire is administered as part of a 2-wave panel study):
Total number of respondents in Wave I of the study:
Number of Wave I respondents reinterviewed in wave containing CSES Module:
Percent total panel attrition: Panel attrition by age and education: (% re-interviewed): 18-25% None%
26-40% Incomplete primary% 41-65%
65 & over% Incomplete secondary% Secondary completed% University incomplete% University degree%
6. Sample Weights
a) Are weights included in the data-file? No ☐ Yes ♣ (Please Describe their Construction):
Sampling units were selected with unequal probabilities. Weights are estimated and used to correct for this unequal probabilities. Poststratification weights were estimated to correct for non-response and to match known demographic characteristics of the population (gender and age)
b) Are the weights designed to compensate for disproportionate probability of selection at the person or household level? No ♥ Yes □ (Please Describe):
Unnecessary because all estimates in the sample design are made for the total population not the total number of households
c) Are the weights designed to match known demographic characteristics of the population? No ☐ Yes ♣ (Please Describe):
Gender and age based on census data from 1995.
d) Are the data weighted to correct for non-response? No ☐ Yes ♣ (Please Describe): This correction is made at the precinct level

Other non-response:

7. a) Please describe the interviewers (age, level of education, and years of experience):

62 interviewers
Male 36 Female 26
Ages 18 to 35
Minimum secondary school
At least two years experience as interviewers

b) Description of interviewer training:

Interviewers are trained in a four to five hours session with the main responsible of the questionnaire design based in a previously prepared manual with all questions and codes. Each question is discussed and some interviews are simulated. Several persuasion approaches are proposed based in a detailed description of the study and its importance.

XIV. Comparison of Sample to Population

Sample Estimates

Characteristic	Population Estimates	Unweighted	Weighted
Age	-	_	
18-25	29.0	23.6	29.3
26-40	37.2	39.7	37.9
41-66	27.6	31.6	27.1
65 and over	6.2	5.1	5.7
Education			
None	14.6	4.9	5.2
Incomplete Primary	24.7	14.6	14.3
Primary Completed	20.9	19.0	18.4
Incomplete Secondary	5.4	6.0	5.7
Secondary Completed	13.4	17.9	17.4
Post-Secondary Trade	·/		
Vocational	13.2	20.8	22.6
Incomplete University	3.3	8.2	8.4
University Degree	4.4	8.3	7.9
Gender			
Male	48.2	49.9	48.4
Female	51.7	50.1	51.6